

APPROVED: April 26, 2021

WEST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP COUNCIL

BUSINESS SESSION

March 8, 2021

CALL TO ORDER: President Mandel called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL: Gawas, Geevers (zoom), Hersh, Mandel, Stevens

STATEMENT OF ADEQUATE NOTICE

This is to advise that the notice requirement provided for in the "Open Public Meetings Act" has been satisfied. Notice of this meeting was properly given and transmitted to The Times and Princeton Packet; filed with the Clerk of the Municipality; and posted in the West Windsor Township Municipal Building at North Post and Clarksville Roads on January 8, 2021.

ATTENDEES: President: Mandel; Council: Gawas, Geevers, Hersh, Stevens; Mayor: Marathe; Township Clerk: Huber

SALUTE TO THE FLAG

Ms. Huber led the salute to the flag.

CEREMONIAL MATTERS AND/OR TOPIC FOR PRIORITY CONSIDERATION

Police Accreditation

Mr. Harry Delgado, Accreditation Director of the New Jersey Association of Chiefs of Police provided a brief history of the police accreditation process and noted that West Windsor is one of only a few in the State to be accredited for the fourth time. He presented the Accreditation award to Chief Garofalo.

Police Officer Awards

Chief Garofalo presented Officer Buchanan and Officer Crawford with the MADD Excellence Awards for DWI arrests. He introduced Officer Cherko and noted that she is the newest graduate from the Academy and thought it was only fitting since it is International Woman's Day to introduce her.

Honoring the Police as Hometown Heros

Council President Mandel presented Chief Garofalo with a proclamation honoring the Police as Hometown Heros during the pandemic.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Pael Garg, 2 Duxbury Court, spoke in favor of the having the Township have the engineering study done so that the actual cost per home can be determined.

Ms. Tirza Wahrman, 5 Stonela Drive, noted that she has had a hard time hearing the comments from the Council and Mayor on Zoom.

Ms. Lisa Wolfe, 1 Partridge Run, requested that the Township approve the engineering study for the south side of Route 571.

Mr. John Church, 11 Princeton Place, noted that the Township's Health Division has done a great job during the pandemic and inquired what happens to the old fire truck once the new one is received.

Mr. Vishwajeet Kotah, 9 Partridge Run, noted he is in favor of moving forward with the sewer project.

Mr. David Olenchak, 16 Hunting Drive, advised that the Township has received enough positive votes to move forward with the engineering study.

Mr. Ahsan Iqbal, 8 Partridge Run, noted that the majority of the results show the residents want to move forward with the engineering study.

Ms. Julie Kemeklis, 4 Cranston Court, supports the engineering study being done.

Ms. Randi Sacco, 22 Wynwood Drive, supports the engineering study being done to determine the final cost per household for sewer conversion.

Mr. Irving Zatz, 8 Huntington Drive, supports having the engineering study done for the south side of Route 571.

Ms. Jian Chen, 4 Farmington, supports engineering study be done and feels that 60% is a valid number to move the project forward.

Mr. Ramaswamy Lakshmanan, 4 Bradford Court, supports moving forward with the engineering study.

Mr. Greg Biggi, 8 Perrine Path, opposes moving forward with the engineering study.

Mr. Zunobia Memon, 5 Huntingdon Drive, moved into house in 2020 and knew that the thirty (30) years for the system.

Mr. Frank Lavadera, 4 Wynwood Drive, supports engineering report being done to provide the final cost for each homeowner.

Mr. Suneet Pathak, 18 Taunton Court, noted that in his opinion 60% is a good response and the Township should move forward with the engineering study for the south side.

Mr. Karun Aggarwal, 5 Wynwood Court, supports the engineering study being done for the south side.

Mr. William Whipple, 15 Perrine Path, spoke against the engineering study being conducted since the response rate was so low on the North side.

Mr. Andy Bromberg, 24 Providence Drive, noted he is an original owner, thanked for the great presentation and encouraged the Township to move forward with the study.

Mr. Prasant Chandran, 5 Marblehead Drive, noted that a majority of the residents on the south side have expressed that the engineering study should be conducted.

Township Clerk Huber read the following e-mail into the record which was received from several residents who are listed below. These e-mails will be made part of the formal record.

Taoran Cui, 4 Rutland Court; Barry Lipsky, 2 Briarwood Court; Mark & Carol Mendelsohn, 15 Huntington Drive; Vasanth & Susan Victor, 7 Cliffview Court; Eugene Catalano, 3 Wynwood Court; Parag Pandya, 3 Plymouth Court; Mindy & Michael Shedler, 2 Evans Drive; Christine Olenchak, 16 Huntington Drive; Helen Shriver, 4 Evans Drive; Akintoye & Minakiri Olorode, 9 Bridgewater Drive; Shin-Hsie Pan and Lan-Jen Tsai, 18 Providence Drive; James Coyle, 7 Taunton Court; Samar Mishra And Gayatri Satpathy, 4 Chadwick Court; Daniel and Anabella Cimafranca, 3 Quail Ridge; Niyatendra & Shuchi Tripathy, 4 Plymouth Court; Ravi Murti and Sitalakshmi Gandikota, 1 Sleepy Hollow Lane; Ying Chien, 11 Taunton Court; Max and Mano Smith, 6 Taunton Court; Manal Mehta, 32 Providence Drive; Amy Sun, 21 Revert Court; Yolanda Chow, 16 W. Kincaid Drive; Shveta Nangia, 15 Taunton Court; Molly Foley, 5 Chadwick Court; Stephen Leung, 23 Providence Drive; Ken Leung, 11 Revere Court, Xin Guan, 4 Revere Court, Davud & Elizabeth Scafa, 4 Huntington Drive; Chen Lei, 2 Enfield Circle; Rajamarickam Vennila, 3 Partridge Run; Tara and Frank Pometti, 2 Guilford Court; Raghuram Potluri, 4 Duxbury Court; Aakanksha Agarwal, 4 Douglas Drive; Vinod Agarwal, 4 Douglas Drive; Vinod Agarwal, 4 Douglas Drive; Omar Hague, 6 Partridge Run; Donald Haas, 1 Plymouth Court;

"I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed."

Township Clerk Huber read the following e-mails into the record. The e-mails will be made part of the formal record:

Mr. Ashish Saraogi, 6 Marblehead Drive, wrote in support of having the engineering survey conducted.

Mr. Imran Qureshi, 16 Taunton Court, wrote that he submitted his vote as yes to move the project forward but that it was not on the final report received.

Ms. Marie Shock, 24 Bridgewater Drive, wrote that Council should vote to move the engineering study forward.

Mr. Josh Anthony, 3 Sleepy Hollow Lane, wrote in support of conducting the engineering study.

Ms. Elizabeth Fraser, 7 Douglas Drive, wrote in support of the engineering study to be done.

Tom and Deb Moses, 9 Sleepy Hollow Lane, wrote to encourage the Council to have the engineering study done.

Mr. Ram Palagummi, 3 Wynwood Drive, wrote to ask the Mayor and Council to move the engineering study forward.

Yang Ly and Danielle Green, 10 Evans Drive, wrote to ask that the engineering study be conducted.

Mr. Wan-Lin Yan, 4 Partridge Run, asked the Mayor to proceed with the engineering survey for their area.

Ms. Lori Langsner, 3 Becket Court, requested that the engineering report be conducted for her area.

Ms. Christina Twyman-Saint Victor, 19 Taunton Court, expressed her support for the engineering study to be conducted in her area.

Dheeraj Tolani and Reena Tolani, 3 Revere Court, noted their support for having the engineering survey conducted.

Mr. Pierre Depelteau, 26 Glengarry Way, expressed his support for the engineering study to be conducted.

Ms. Marissa Hine, 6 Wynwood Drive, supports the Township having the engineering study performed to give residents a better understanding of the cost involved.

Mr. John Bridge, 10 Providence Drive, supports the sewer project and asked that the engineering study be conducted.

Mr. Paul Beaubrun, 5 Taunton Court, urged the Mayor and Council to move forward with the engineering study.

Mr. Deepak Rastogi, 12 Marblehead Drive, encouraged the Mayor to conduct the engineering study.

Ms. Tara Kurumaddali, 3 Wynwood Drive, requested that the engineering study be conducted for the south side of Route 571.

Ms. Lorel Carrabba, 11 Evans Drive, noted support for the sewer project and encouraged the Mayor to move forward with the sewer study.

Ms. Fiana Li, noted support for having the sewer study conducted.

ADMINISTRATION COMMENTS

Ms. Schmid announced that yard waste is being collected in Zone 2 and encouraged residents to check on-line for their zone information. She announced that the AARP Tax Program will not be conducted this year due to COVID.

Mayor Marathe reviewed the steps that have been taken so far with regard to the sewer survey. He advised that he has not vetoed anything because there has been no action presented to Council to date. Mayor Marathe noted that he extended the deadline as requested by residents to allow them more time to gather responses. He presented the history of the Heatherfield Sewer Project and noted that he has to follow State guidelines and regulations. Mayor Marathe advised that the project is not dead and that we all have to work together to move forward.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS

Mr. Stevens encouraged residents to review the new CDC Guidelines, especially for those who have been vaccinated. He noted that he is proud of our Police receiving their fourth accreditation. Mr. Stevens noted that fire apparatus on tonight's agenda is for the West Windsor Volunteer Fire Company and noted his thanks to Chief Lynch for his assistance in the process.

Ms. Geevers, congratulated the Police on their fourth accreditation. She thanked the many residents who spoke this evening and noted that there is nothing on tonight's agenda, but hopes to see something on an upcoming agenda. Ms. Geevers announced that there will be a clothing drive at Project Freedom and encouraged residents to participate. She noted that Council and the Mayor honored the Public Works Department last week as Hometown Heroes.

Ms. Gawas wished everyone a Happy International Women's Day and noted that the Public Works Department continued to work seamlessly throughout the pandemic. She noted that she learned a lot about the salt dome and its operation. Ms. Gawas thanked everyone for their comments, and noted that there is no action being taken this evening on this matter. She noted that she is

hopeful that we will be back to normal soon and encouraged residents to continue to mask up and social distance.

Mr. Hersh noted that the south side of Route 571 had a 77% turnout rate because the community went door to door encouraging the residents to send their surveys in. He noted his disappointment that the engineering survey is not on tonight's agenda for action and thanked the residents who worked so hard in getting responses returned to the Township. Mr. Hersh acknowledged the hard work the Police have done to be accredited for the fourth time.

#### CHAIR/CLERK COMMENTS

President Mandel wished everyone a Happy International Women's Day. She noted it was an honor to acknowledge both the Police and Public Works as Hometown Heroes. President Mandel announced that the Council has begun the budget process for 2021. She noted that even though more residents are getting vaccinated that everyone still needs to follow the CDC Guidelines. President Mandel thanked everyone for their comments on the sewer survey, and advised that nothing has been presented to Council for a vote. She reviewed the process whereby the Administration/Mayor would propose a contract for an engineering study to be conducted and then Council would vote on the matter. President Mandel advised that this is not an easy decision and that the Mayor has requested additional comments to be sent to him on the matter.

#### CONSENT AGENDA

#### BILLS & CLAIMS

Motion to approve consent agenda: Gawas

Second: Stevens

RCV: Aye Gawas

Aye Geever

Aye Hersh

Aye Stevens

Aye Mandel



RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ADMINISTRATION AND COUNCIL/CLERK

2021-R058 Authorizing the Chief Financial Officer to Increase the Contract with Scheideler Excavating Co., Inc. for Snow Removal Services by \$90,000.00 for a Total Not to Exceed of \$160,000.00

2021-R059 Consenting to the Appointment of and Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to Execute a Professional Services Agreement with Raymond C. Staub, Esquire as Public Defender - \$17,000.00

2021-R060 Authorizing the Business Administrator to Purchase One (1) 2021 E-One Typhoon Pumper Fire Apparatus from Absolute Fire Protection Co., Inc. Through the Houston Galveston Area Council National Cooperative (HGAC-Buy) - \$791,721.00

2021-R061 Authorizing the Business Administrator to Purchase Office Furniture from Paramount Facility Management Solutions through the Educational Services Commission Cooperative - \$151,996.28

2021-R062 Authorizing the Tax Assessor and Tax Consultants to File Tax Appeals for 2021 with the Mercer County Tax Board of Taxation

2021-R063 Authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to Execute a Professional Services Agreement with GeoTrek Environmental and Surveying LLC for Land Surveyor Services as Needed - \$3,000.00

Motion to approve Resolutions 2021-R058 through 2021-R063: Gawas  
Second: Geevers

RCV: Aye Gawas  
Aye Geevers  
Aye Hersh  
Aye Stevens  
Aye Mandel

INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCES

2021-07     AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL CHAPTER 4 SECTION 26  
ENTITLED "SWIMMING POOL UTILITY" AND CHAPTER  
162 ARTICLE I ENTITLED "WATERWORKS AT WEST  
WINDSOR COMMUNITY POOL" OF THE GENERAL CODE OF  
THE TOWNSHIP OF WEST WINDSOR AND ESTBALISH THE  
COLLECTION AND RELEASE OF POOL MONIES WITHIN  
THE MUNICIPAL BUDGET

Motion to Introduce: Gawas

Second: Stevens

RCV: Aye Gawas

Aye Geevers

Aye Hersh

Aye Stevens

Aye Mandel

Public Hearing is scheduled for the March 22, 2021 Business Session.

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT

Ms. Lisa Wolfe, 1 Partridge Run, expressed her concerns about misinformation regarding when the binding survey would be conducted.

Mr. Andy Bromberg, 24 Providence Drive, thanked the Council and requested that the engineering study be done for the residents.

Mr. Karun Aggarwal, 5 Wynwood Court, noted that in his opinion the Mayor's comments are unclear and noted his support to have the engineering study conducted.

Mr. Frank Lavadera, 4 Wynwood Drive, supports the study being done so that everyone can work together.

Mr. William Whipple, 14 Perrine Path, strongly recommends that the project be bifurcated.

Mr. Suneet Pathak, 8 Taunton Court, expressed his concerns about the cost and also inquired if there is additional pumping capacity available to help reduce the cost.

Mayor Marathe advised that the estimates were because two projects were being surveyed. He noted that there is no additional capacity available in the current system to add additional residents.

Mr. Ram Palagummi, 3 Wynwood Drive, noted that almost 78% positivity on the south side and that engineering survey should be conducted.

Mr. Irving Zatz, 8 Huntington Drive, noted that the Mayor should place this on a meeting for an official vote.

Discussion ensued over the process for an engineering survey to be conducted as well as if Council could earmark funds within the budget for a sewer survey. Mayor reviewed the process for moving any project forward. Discussion continued between the Mayor and Council on how to move the process forward.

#### COUNCIL REPORTS/DISCUSSION TOPICS/NEW BUSINESS

Ms. GeEVERS advised that the Planning Board met on the circulation element of the Master Plan on February 24<sup>th</sup> and March 3<sup>rd</sup> and will continue discussion at their March 10<sup>th</sup> meeting. She noted that there are some electrical panel issues at Windsor Haven affordable housing units. Ms. GeEVERS advised that the lottery deadline for Woodmont Way affordable housing units is May 31. She noted that the Affordable Housing Committee is reviewing changes to the code for distribution of affordable units in new developments.

Ms. GAWAS noted that the Human Relations Council met via zoom on March 2<sup>nd</sup> and that they are looking into various programs on diversity. She noted that the students are looking to update the Human Relations web-page on the Township web-site.

Mr. HERSH reported that currently 80% are doing virtual learning and that there is a survey due by March 14<sup>th</sup> on whether you want to go hybrid or stay virtual. He noted this is a non-binding survey and at the earliest students would go back around April 12<sup>th</sup>. He noted that North and South basketball played their last game. Mr. HERSH announced there is a school board budget meeting tomorrow evening. He noted there is a Board of Recreation Commissioners meeting later this week.

Motion to extend the clock to 11:15 p.m.: Gawas  
Second: Geevers  
VV: All approved


Mr. Stevens reported that the Shade Tree Commission will hold Arbor Day in October. He noted there will be a tree planting on April 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> at Duck Pond Park by local Girl Scout and Boy Scout troops.


President Mandel announced that the Parking Authority and the Environmental Commission will meet later this week. She noted that she attended a seminar through Rutgers on rain gardens and looks forward to seeing these around town.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn was made by President Mandel

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gay M. Huber  
Township Clerk  
West Windsor Township

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Andrea Mandel  
Council President  
West Windsor Township

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** T Cui <st.calvin@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 10:21 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Rutland Ct, Princeton Junction New Jersey 08550.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Taoran Cui

Gay Huber

4

**From:** Barry Lipsky <barry@barrylipsky.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 5:06 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 2 Briarwood Court, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Barry Lipsky

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Mark Mendelsohn - GCE Int <MendelsohnM@GCEInt.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 7:08 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; sgawas@westwindsortwp; Lgeevers@westwindsortwp; Ahersh@westwindsortwp; Mstevens@westwindsortwp  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR MARCH 8th 2021 Township meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 15 Huntington Dr, West Windsor,NJ.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Mark & Carole Mendelsohn  
15 Huntington Dr  
West Windsor,NJ 08550



## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Mark Mendelsohn - GCE Int <MendelsohnM@GCEInt.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 10:42 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** Re: PUBLIC COMMENT FOR MARCH 8th 2021 Township meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 15 Huntington Dr, West Windsor, NJ.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Mark & Carole Mendelsohn  
15 Huntington Dr  
West Windsor, NJ 08550  
[REDACTED]



## Gay Huber

---

From: Vasanth Victor <vasanth\_victor@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Sunday, March 7, 2021 7:23 AM  
To: Gay Huber  
Cc: Irving Zatz; susan victor; Vasanth Victor  
Subject: Public Comment for March 8 meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 7 Cliffview Court, Princeton Junction NJ 08550, United States.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Vasanth & Susan Victor

8

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Danny catalano <dannycatalano@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 8:54 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Sewer survey

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Wynwood Ct, Princeton Junction NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Eugene catalano

Sent from my iPhone

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Parag <parag.pandya@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 12:19 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Plymouth Court.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate.

This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Parag Pandya

## Gay Huber

---

15

**From:** Mindy Shedler <mzshedler@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 1:34 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Comment for the March 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

We would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8, 2021. We live at 2 Evans Drive, Princeton Junction.

We would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was our understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be conducted, as was discussed in the Township Town Hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. We live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80% YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where we live.

We know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. That is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80% seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform the study and we only ask that Council approves it.

We would appreciate your consideration in this issue and welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mindy Shedler  
Michael Shedler  
2 Evans Drive  
Princeton Junction

Gay Huber

16

**From:** Christine and Dave Olenchalk <olenchalk@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 2:10 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Comment Re: engineering study for Septic-sewer conversion

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. My name is Christine Olenchalk and I live at 16 Huntington Drive, Princeton Jct..

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Christine Olenchalk  
16 Huntington Drive

Gay Huber

171

**From:** Helen Shriver <shriverhn@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 2:20 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Septic to Sewer study

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Evans Drive.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Helen Niemirow Shriver

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Akintoye OLORODE <aolorode@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 2:20 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber

We would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021.  
We live at 9 Bridgewater Drive.

We hereby request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners whose properties are still on septic systems.

We live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

There is no dispute about the benefits of conversion to sewer from septic system. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. We therefore ask that the council consider the approval of the engineering study, given its undeniable benefits and demand from a supermajority of residents.

We would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you,

Akintoye & Minakiri Olorode  
9 Bridgewater Drive

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** shih-hsie pan <shpan54@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 2:23 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Sonia Gawas; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh; Linda Geevers; Andrea Mandel  
**Subject:** IMPORTANT : West Windsor Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Study

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

We would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. We live at 18 Providence Drive in Princeton Oaks.

We would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project must proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township on septic conversion.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was our understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners regarding septic, the Council would agree and proceed with engineering study for the community. We live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 out of 241 homeowners who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates communities.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic, environmentally as well as long term economic advantages. The only question is determining the cost and engineering specifics. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners currently on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, we believe that the binding vote to convert to sewer would be even higher than the 80 percent given in the survey. We know the Township has already budgeted to perform this study and we would urge that Township Council approves it.

We would appreciate your support in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important plan. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Your help in facilitating our request is very much appreciated.

Sincerely,

Shih-Hsie Pan and Lan-Jen Tsai



Gay Huber

---

**From:** Jim <thejim24@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 9:55 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber; Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Bear Brook Sewer Conversion

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 7 Taunton Ct.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

James Coyle

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Samar Mishra <samar\_mishra@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 4:47 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; gastpathy@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** Septic to Sewer Conversion

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Chadwick Court.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Samar Mishra and Gayatri Satpathy  
4 chadwick Court.

Gay Huber

27

**From:** DANIEL CIMA FRANCA MD, PC/DAC ASSOCIATES LLC <danabell33@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 5:57 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Amandel@westwindortwp.com; Sgawas@westwindortwp.com; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Quail Ridge ( Windsor Ridge home owner ).

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Daniel & Anabella Cimafranca

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** DANIEL CIMA FRANCA MD,PC/DAC ASSOCIATES LLC <danabell33@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 6:04 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** Fwd: PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

March 7, 2021

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Quail Ridge ( Windsor Ridge home owner ).

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Daniel & Anabella Cimafranca

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Niyati Tripathy <niyati.tripathy@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 6:27 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; izatz@verizon.net; Lisa Wolfe  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Plymouth Ct.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a clear majority of the respondents indicating a YES response. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. This does not include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still somehow appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the current majority of YES respondents seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
NIYATENDRA M. & SHUCHI V. TRIPATHY  
4 PLYMOUTH COURT  
TWP BLOCK No.: 21.20 / TWP LOT No.: 65

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** ravi murti <ravimurti@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 6:50 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Sewer in Windsor Ridge

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 1 Sleepy Hollow lane, West Windsor Tep, NJ 08559

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Ravi Murti & Sitalakshmi Gandikota

Sent from my iPhone

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Ying Chien <ngchien11@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 7:55 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 11 Taunton Ct, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Ying Chien

Gay Huber

36

**From:** max smith <maxrichsmith@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 8:26 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 6 Taunton Court in the Princeton Oaks development.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Max and Mano Smith



**From:** Manal Vyas Mehta <skybluemehta@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 9:47 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TWP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 32 Providence Dr., Princeton Junction, NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Manal Mehta

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Manal Vyas Mehta <skybluemehta@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 9:36 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir/Madam,

My name is Manal Mehta, I live on 32 Providence Drive, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550. I am writing to express my support to have the engineering study performed in accordance with the results of the septic to sewer survey which was sent out.

Regards,  
Manal Mehta

**Gay Huber**

---

40

**From:** amy sun <amyimingsun@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 10:02 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Amandel@westwindsortw.com; Sgawas@westwindsortw.com;  
lgeevers@westwindsortw.com; ahersh@westwindsortw.com;  
mstevens@westwindsortw.com  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 21 Revert Court.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Amy Sun

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** amy sun <amyyimingsun@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 9:44 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 21 Revert Court.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Amy Sun

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** YOLANDA CHOW <ychow@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 10:30 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 16 W Kincaid Drive.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed as indicated in prior zoom meetings when the majority of response is met.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Regards  
Yolanda Chow

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Shveta Nangia <shveta.nangia@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 7:11 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Support for Septic conversion project

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 15 Taunton ct west Windsor Nj.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Shveta Nangia

Gay Huber

**From:** Molly Foley <mollyfoley33@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 7:29 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 5 CHADWICK COURT, WEST WINDSOR, PRINCETON OAKS.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Molly Foley

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Stephen Leung <steve\_leung@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:32 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; Irving Zatz  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 23 Providence Dr, Princeton Junction, NJ.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Stephen Leung



**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Ken Leung <llk290@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:42 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Re: PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 11 Revere Ct, West Windsor Township, NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
 Ken Leung

On Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 9:39 AM Ken Leung <[llk290@gmail.com](mailto:llk290@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 11

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Ken Leung

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Cindy Guan <cindyguan1@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:51 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Revere Court, Princeton Junction.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Xin Guan

Gay Huber

**From:** Liz Scafa <liz@scafafinancial.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:58 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; Dave Scafa  
**Subject:** Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Study

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This message was sent securely using Zix

Dear Ms. Huber,

We would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. My husband and I live at 4 Huntington Drive, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550 and have lived in the same house since it was built in 1988. We have been patiently waiting for the township to address the septic-to-sewer conversion after it was voted down several years ago.

We would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was our understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. We live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is **far more** than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where we live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

We would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
 David and Elizabeth Scafa

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** chen lei <leichen0430@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:16 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** A public comment about septic to sewer

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 2 Enfield circle.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration, Lei Chen



**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Raja Vennila <rajausa73@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:16 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Partridge Run, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Rajamanickam Vennila  
3 Partridge Run,  
Princeton Junction  
NJ 08550

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Tara Pometti <tarapometti@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:30 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Sonia Gawas; Andrea Mandel; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; Frank Pometti  
**Subject:** Public Comment: Septic-to-Sewer Conversion

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

We would like to submit a public comment for tonight's WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. We live at 2 Guilford Court in West Windsor (Princeton Oaks Development).

We would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

We would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Tara and Frank Pometti

## Gay Huber

---

61

**From:** Raghuram Potluri <raghuram999@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:35 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Duxbury Ct, West Windsor Township, NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Raghuram Potluri



## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Aakanksha Agarwal <aakanksha.agarwal@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:50 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** URGENT: Public comment for WW Council Meeting - Public Sewer study topic

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,  
Aakanksha Agarwal  
4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor, NJ 08550

65

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Vinod Agarwal <vinodagarwal@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:52 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** Re: URGENT: Public comment for WW Council Meeting - Public Sewer study for Princeton Oaks community homes

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,  
Vinod Agarwal  
4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor, NJ 08550

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Vinod Agarwal <vinodagarwal@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 12:24 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** URGENT: Public Sewer study for Princeton Oaks community homes

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best Regards,  
Vinod Agarwal  
4 Douglas Drive, West Windsor, NJ 08550

66

**Gay Huber**

**From:** Omar Haque <ohaque2002@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:53 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 6 Partridge Run, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
 Omar Haque

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Donald Haas (Abington) <Donald.Haas@jefferson.edu>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:55 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 1 Plymouth Court.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Donald Haas

The information contained in this transmission contains privileged and confidential information. It is intended only for the use of the person named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

**CAUTION:** Intended recipients should NOT use email communication for emergent or urgent health care matters.

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Ashish Saraogi <ashish.saraogi@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 11:59 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Michael Stevens; Andrew Hersh  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment/March 8 Council Mtg

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Name: Ashish Saraogi  
ADDRESS- 6 Marblehead Dr, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

Mr Gay Huber,

I would like my email to be considered a public comment and be read during the council meeting on March 8, 2021.

We recently completed a survey to replace septic system to public sewer. I believe a sizeable majority of residents South of 571, about 60%, support the study/survey to be conducted. Which is a majority. The Mayor and the Council should honor the wishes of 187 homeowners that voted for the study to be done. The failing septic systems across the communities means homeowners will have to budget \$30-40K in a single check to a septic company as opposed to the benefit of converting to sewer and availing the twp's payment plan over a possible period of 10 yrs. Given that the majority of the residents south of rt 571 want the survey to be conducted and the funds for the study are already allocated in the budget, the Mayor should require the survey to be completed to provide an estimate of the costs to the residents.

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Ashish Saraogi <ashish.saraogi@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 9:19 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Public Comment/March 8 Council Mtg

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr Gay Huber,

I would like my email to be considered a public comment and be read during the council meeting on March 8, 2021.

We recently completed a survey to replace septic system to public sewer. I believe a sizeable majority of residents South of 571, about 60%, support the study/survey to be conducted. Which is a majority. The Mayor and the Council should honor the wishes of 187 homeowners that voted for the study to be done. The failing septic systems across the communities means homeowners will have to budget \$30-40K in a single check to a septic company as opposed to the benefit of converting to sewer and availing the twp's payment plan over a possible period of 10 yrs. Given that the majority of the residents south of rt 571 want the survey to be conducted and the funds for the study are already allocated in the budget, the Mayor should require the survey to be completed to provide an estimate of the costs to the residents.

Regards,

*to Marblehead Drive*

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** iiqureshi@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 6:45 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** "Public Comment/March 8 Council Mtg"

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

I voted yes to proceed with the Septic system study on Feb 19th but my property address showed up as not responded. I am not sure how many more yes votes are missing. Please see my proof below. I am in full support of the Public Septic System.

Imran Qureshi  
16 Taunton Ct,  
West Windsor, NJ 08550

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** noreply+9dd6269c9494ce67@formstack.com  
**Date:** February 9, 2021 at 2:31:53 PM EST  
**To:** iiqureshi@gmail.com  
**Subject:** West Windsor - Sewer Survey  
**Reply-To:** sewersurvey@westwindsortwp.com



**Formstack Submission For: West Windsor - Sewer Survey**  
Submitted at 02/09/21 2:31 PM

**Name:** Imran Qureshi  
**Email:** iiqureshi@gmail.com  
**Address:** 16 Taunton Ct



Twp. Block No.: 21.2

Twp. Lot No.: 44

Phone:



Property Code for Survey: T25QV4

This property is currently served by: PRIVATE SEPTIC

Please check all that apply:

I WAS ABLE to attend one of the public meetings on this topic  
I WAS ABLE to view a recording of one or both public meetings

Please indicate your response:

I DO SUPPORT a project to provide public sewer lines to my neighborhood, with the understanding that I will be responsible for payment of a sewer assessment upon completion if this project proceeds.

Do you know the location of the septic tank?:

YES

Do you know the location of the disposal area?:

NO

Is your septic tank accessible for service without digging to reach the access lids?:

Yes

When did you last have the septic tank serviced, including pump out, month & year (MM/YEAR)?:

12/31/2019

The last time you serviced your septic tank did they pump out:

Don't know

Would you like to receive more information about the proper care and maintenance of a septic system?:

No

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Marie Shock <marielouiseshock@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 10:47 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Engineering Study

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please vote to have the Engineering Study go forward for the feasibility of sewers in Princeton Oaks.

Marie Shock  
24 Bridgewater Drive  
West Windsor NJ 08550

Sent from my iPhone

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Joshua Anthony <josh@nlumn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 12:59 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber; Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public comment for the March 8 2021 Township Council Meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council,

I am writing to express my support to express my support to add the proposal to do the engineering study that will evaluate the conversion of local homes from Septic to Sewer to the Township Council Agenda. Our votes clearly show a majority interest in conducting this study and I believe that the information gathered will be important to help all residents make an informed decision concerning the transition.

Sincerely,

Josh Anthony  
3 Sleepy Hollow Ln  
Princeton Junction, NJ 08550

Gay Huber

20

**From:** Elizabeth Fraser <elizabethfraser@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 2:39 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber; Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber:

We hereby submit the following as a public comment for the meeting of the West Windsor Township Council on Monday, March 8, 2021. We live at 7 Douglas Drive, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550, which is in Princeton Oaks.

We request that the West Windsor Township Council "override the mayor's veto" and approve the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project, which study, in our understanding, has already been approved by the Council.

The Mayor led us to believe that he would abide by the majority of the survey of homeowners who were surveyed. It is our further understanding that the 187 of 241 homeowners, or 77.6%, responded in favor of having the study done.

We respect majority rule as the basis for living in a democratic society. We, therefore, respectfully request that the Township Council also follow this basic principle and approve the study.

Respectfully submitted,  
Elizabeth M. Fraser  
Robert S. Fraser

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Tom Moses <tmoses@gia.edu>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 4:17 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh;  
mstevens@westwindsor.com  
**Subject:** public comment for the March 8, 2021 township council meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To the township clerk:

We are disappointed that the mayor feels there is not enough support to move forward with the septic to sewer conversation study. There is no downside to having this study performed. It will simply provide details and costs that we need to decide whether to move forward with the septic to sewer conversion.

Thank you,

Tom and Deb Moses  
9 Sleepy Hollow Lane

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Rama Krishna K. Palagummi <rampk@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 7:15 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Linda Geevers; Sonia Gawas; Andrew Hersh; Andrea Mandel; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Comment/March 8 Council Meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber:

I am reaching out to you to request that you consider this email as my **public comment** for the township's **council meeting on Monday, March 8, 2021**. I currently reside at 3 Wynwood Drive, West Windsor Twp.

In response to the township's septic-sewer survey results that are posted on the township's website on March 7 - Support for the Bear Brook Septic to Sewer Study (the "Study") is far higher now than it was many years ago. Support for the Study has been overwhelmingly positive from the homeowners that are on South of 571 (the "South Block"). Princeton Oaks (our community), Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates within the South Block are all clustered together and are quite eager to get the Study done - this can be clearly seen from the survey results. To put the South Block results in numbers:

- 313 total properties on the South Block
- 241 homeowners responded in good faith to the survey (i.e. 77% of the total South Block responded)
- 77.6% of the total South Block survey respondents voted YES for the study.

And there is a sizeable chunk of properties on the North side of 571 (42%) that are also keen for Twp to undertake the Study.

In his letter posted on the township's website on March 7, the Mayor states the following:

"I am putting this data in public and sharing with those who have shown interest to allow people to make further comments after looking at the data. After listening/reading the comments received and in consultation with the township engineer I will make a determination on which option to pursue. The options are

-Request Council to approve hiring of a consultant to undertake a design study to come up with a more accurate cost estimate (either for one area or both).

-Determine that there is not sufficient interest and do not pursue the project further at this time. That will still leave open the possibility of conducting a survey again in future, if it's determined that the interest of residents may have changed."

In light of the above statement by the Mayor and keeping in mind the results of the survey, I urge the Council the following:

**Option a.** A 929-properties wide Study be undertaken to understand the costs for each community and/or group of communities. Perhaps once the study is complete and the costs are laid out, there will be more people willing to convert to sewer.

**Option b.** If the above option "a" is not a plausible scenario for the township, then I respectfully request that the Mayor and the Council honor the overwhelming wishes of 187 tax payers South of 571 and undertake a rather limited Study for these communities only (I am referring to 313 properties out of which 77.6% are eager to get a Study done). The 77.6% of the South Block homeowners are 1) interested in getting the sewer connection and therefore want to know the costs, timelines, etc; and 2) have therefore answered YES to the survey so the township could move ahead with the Study. I believe that it is the ethical responsibility of the township (Mayor and the Council together) to honor the wishes of these 77.6% of homeowners on the South Block.

A cursory look at the map that the Mayor posted on the website makes it quite evident that there are large number of green spots on the South Block and that they are all clustered together from a sewer line standpoint. Why is this relevant? It is relevant because it would definitely be economical for the 313 homeowners and cost as well as project efficient to potentially run sewer lines along Lanwin Blvd. connecting our three adjacent communities i.e. Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge, and Westminster Estates to the sewer mains going along 571 – from a project design standpoint, this is by far the ideal scenario that these three communities are clustered together. Even a new Pumping Station might not be needed given that there is one already located behind Bridgewater Village of Princeton Oaks assuming that it can potentially handle an additional 313 houses or we might have to upgrade the pumps within the existing station to handle more volume or in the alternative, constructing a new station altogether. Either way, without undertaking a Study, we will never know the precise project specifics and the costs.

Mayor and the township engineer have presented random approximate dollar figures willy-nilly in their survey letter. By throwing in the dollar figures within the survey letter – the same letter that is seeking homeowner interest to know the costs etc, the Mayor and the township engineer have acted rather irresponsibly. Some of the community members are viewing this as a disingenuous effort to discourage the homeowner response, an insincere, half-hearted effort at best. Despite this rather discouraging letter, the support for the Study has been an emphatic YES at least on the South Block with 77.6% approval for the Study. If this was an election, they would call it "landslide". But it is not. It is our life that we need to deal with everyday living on a Septic system, worrying when it would break on us causing several thousands to repair or replace.

I have discussed the sewer conversion issue with several members of our community pre- as well as post- my election to the board of Princeton Oaks Homeowners Association. Between the dozens of new homeowners; the original homeowners from late 1980s and early 1990s with original Septic systems (30 plus yrs old); and the troubling news of several failing Septic systems across our community - people in our community are desperately hoping to get a cost-effective conversion to the sewer system away from the Septic systems. During the mayor's zoom session on Sewer project, the well-respected township health officer as well as the subject-matter expert on Septic systems Mr. Doug Davidson alluded to the fact that most of the Septic Systems within the town are beyond their usable life. This is quite worrisome for those that are on original Septic systems that are over 35 years old.

To anyone that says the cost of sewer conversion could be expensive and brings into question the affordability, the question they should ask themselves is if they have \$35,000 readily-available funds in their bank if the septic system fails overnight – that is one payment one check. Why does it not make sense to avail the benefit of paying over a period of 10 yrs to the township for sewer conversion as opposed to writing one big check to a septic engineering company to put in a new system. Even from a pure household financial budgeting perspective, the sewer conversion is an absolutely rational as well as a logical choice.

I sincerely urge the Council and the Mayor to consider and approve option "a" study in the best interest of our wonderful town and in the alternative, option "b" limited South Block study should be considered and approved to immediately undertake the Study without any further delay. More so because the township has already allocated within the budget the funds to undertake the sewer study.

Thank you,  
Ram Palagummi

Gay Huber

---

**From:** Danielle Green <mistic1698@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 8:12 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

We, Yang Lu and Danielle Green, would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. We live at 10 Evans Drive in West Windsor.

We would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was our understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. We live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks where we live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list. ***Please kindly note that failure to respond to a survey is not indicative of a NO response and the received surveys should be representative of the population impacted by the sewer option. To assume otherwise would be an unfortunate political bias.***

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it. *We feel the personal economic burden to the homeowners shall not be assumed until the survey results are provided as aging septic systems are also quite burdensome.*

We would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Kind Regards,



**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Wan-Lin Yan <wanlchyan@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 8:12 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Engineering survey

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir,

We want the mayor to proceed the Engineering Survey for the septic project in our area.

Thank you.

Wan-Lin Yan  
4 Partridge Run  
West Windsor

Gay Huber

41

**From:** cancel99 <cancel99@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 10:13 PM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** For Public Comment regarding Bear Brook Septic to Sewer Conversion Project

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 3 Becket Court in Princeton Oaks development for almost 30 years.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic. Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Lori Langsner

Gay Huber

46

**From:** Christina Twyman Saint Victor <tina.twyman@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 8:26 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber; Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber:

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming West Windsor Township Council meeting scheduled for March 8, 2021. I live at 19 Taunton Ct.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic to sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

I was pleasantly surprised when I learned that the Township was conducting the survey. However, I am concerned that despite the majority of respondents wanting the engineering study to proceed, the Mayor is against it. On Facebook, the Mayor has mis-represented the data from the survey stating that 40% do not want to convert and is quoting prices of \$30K prior to conducting the survey. He is now setting targets for responses that were not clearly communicated prior to today, but also not allowing further surveys to be submitted. The purpose of the survey is to determine the cost of conversion, thus the number in support and the cost of conversion are not known. This leads one to assume that the Mayor is not interested in the results of the survey, does not want the engineering study to be conducted and is willing to manipulate the data, make up potential costs and change the rules of engagement to achieve this goal. It is concerning that one individual has the power to overturn the will of the constituents without any checks and balances.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
Christina Twyman-Saint Victor

—  
Sincerely,  
Christina Twyman-Saint Victor, M.D.

Gay Huber

47

**From:** Raj Tolani <tolanid@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:19 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens; Hemant Marathe; Sewer Survey  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good Morning Ms. Huber,

My name is Dheeraj Tolani along with my wife Reena Tolani and we reside at 3 Revere Court, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550 (Block 21, Lot 236). I apologize for not sending the sewer survey earlier since my Mom who lives with me had a heart attack and was admitted at the Princeton Plainsboro Hospital and we had a Corona related death in the family overseas in India.

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. Again, I live at 3 Revere Court, Princeton Junction, NJ 08550.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dheeraj Tolani (Raj) and Reena Tolani  
TolaniD@gmail.com

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Pierre Depelteau <ppdepel@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:31 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Tonight's Council Meeting

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority or near majority of home owners wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community.

I live in West Windsor Estates north of Route 571 (behind the McCaffrey's Shopping Center that is already served by the public sewer) and a larger number of home owners voted YES to have this study done, not including those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey that they want this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost, and that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Pierre Depelteau  
26 Glengarry Way  
West Windsor, NJ 08550

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Marissa Hine <marissahine@me.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:48 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Marissa Hine  
6 Wynwood Dr.

I am a resident of Princeton Oaks and I can honestly say I'm not 100% sure if I would ultimately vote for a sewer conversion BUT, I am unable to make that decision without knowing all the pertinent information, including the cost. It has become clear, per the Mayor's own words, that he was never seriously considering this project, which is unbelievably disappointing. I would think those in favor (the majority) of moving forward - just to obtain INFORMATION, and nothing more at this point, have paid in enough to the town (in aggregate) to warrant moving forward with the study.

On this issue, a 40% 'No' response is sufficient to end this conversation and disregard the majority opinion. Meanwhile, the town refuses to get involved when its a minority % of the school district that wants kids send back full-time. Lots of picking and choosing over issues here. I've never been so disappointed to have to pay so much in taxes and have no voice in this town.

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** JOHN BRIDGE <johnbridge@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:50 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber; Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Sewer Engineering Study in Princeton Oaks

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Ms. Huber and City Council,

I hope you and your families are well.

I am sure you have seen copies of the email below. I will add that I feel a 80% "YES" response from 60% of the responders is a significant majority. I also feel that our West Windsor public servants should have an obligation, not a choice, to move forward with such a significant response from the citizens you serve. Moving forward, could simply include a council vote on the matter. It appears that the mayor is trying to suddenly ignore this topic and sweep it under the rug. I do not respect that decision. I ask that you reconsider this topic amongst yourselves. If it is voted down, then so be it, but I feel as though this should not be the mayor's decision alone. This is not about whether or not I want sewer. This is about what should be due process.

If anyone asks, yes, I did attend one of the initial meetings on the topic, and feel as though I am well informed on the matter.

Thank you,  
 John Bridge

Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 10 Providence Drive in Princeton Oaks.

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about

how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
John Bridge



**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Paul Beaubrun <paul\_beaubrun@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:53 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Gay,

I have the following message for to be made public at the next township council meeting tonight:

Dear Mayor & Council members,

I urge you to pursue the study towards providing sewer to the South side of 571. A survey was made to evaluate the interest of homeowners North and South of 571. While a large portion of homeowners didn't respond North of 571 and of those a slim majority answered "yes", a large majority of homeowners enthusiastically answered "yes" South of 571.

I understand no "trigger" had been set before the survey was made. However, I think most homeowners were anticipating that a "yes" from 60% of all the owners (and not just of those who answered the survey) would have been enough. Hence, when I first read the results, I thought the mention of the phase 2 not moving forward applied mostly to the North side and I was very surprised to hear the study may not even proceed for the South side.

Obviously, there will be a drop-off between the homeowners answering "yes" in the 1st survey vs. the 2nd survey. However, unless there is data to justify there is a high likelihood of a high drop-off, it creates the perception that there was always very limited interest by the township to really proceed. Knowing the cost of the sewer is the only way to really know if it makes sense or not, hence the importance of this study.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Paul

Paul Beaubrun  
Princeton Oaks  
5 Taunton Ct  
West Windsor Twp, NJ 08550

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Deepak Rastogi <deepak\_rastogis@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:15 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Andrea Mandel; Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MARCH 8, 2021 TOWNSHIP COUNCIL MEETING.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

- Dear Ms. Huber,

I would like to submit a public comment for the upcoming WW Township Council meeting on Monday, March 8th, 2021. I live at 12 Marblehead Drive, Princeton Jct, NJ 08550

I would like to formally request that the engineering study for the Bear Brook septic-to-sewer conversion project proceed based on the results of the recent survey of homeowners in the Township still on septic.

Based on the Mayor's prior comments, it was my understanding that if the majority of homes wanted the engineering study to be done, as was discussed in the Township town hall zoom meetings and also in the Mayor's letter that was mailed to homeowners still on septic, then the Council would agree and proceed with gathering this information for the community. I live in the area south of Route 571 and 187 people out of 241 people who responded voted YES to have this study done. That is a nearly 80 percent YES response rate. This is far more than the majority that was previously specified by the Mayor and doing the engineering study would be in the best interest of Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates where I live. And this doesn't include those homeowners who have said they responded to the survey wanting this engineering study but still appear on the Township's 'did not respond' list.

We all know that sewers are a vast improvement over septic. The only question is determining the cost. And that is the purpose of conducting this engineering study. The study will provide essential information to homeowners still on septic. If the results of the study are favorable, it is conceivable that an actual binding vote to convert to sewer could be even higher than the 80 percent seen in the survey. The Township has already budgeted to perform this study and I only ask that Council approves it.

I would appreciate your consideration in this issue and would welcome any further discussion about how the town will proceed on this very important topic. It is in the best interest of both the homeowners and the Township that this engineering study be performed.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Deepak Rastogi

62  
**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Tara Kurumaddali <kktara@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:39 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Andrea Mandel; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Comment/March 8 2021

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Huber:

Please consider this email as my public comment for the township's council meeting on Monday, March 8, 2021. I currently reside at 3 Wynwood Drive in West Windsor Twp. In response to the township's septic-sewer survey results that are posted on the township's website - Support for the Bear Brook Septic to Sewer Study is highly enthusiastic. Specifically, support for the Study has been overwhelmingly positive from the homeowners that are on South of 571 (the "South Block"). Princeton Oaks, Windsor Ridge and Westminster Estates within the South Block are all adjacent to one another and are quite interested to get the Study done - this can be seen from the survey results.

There are 313 total properties on the South Block and 241 homeowners responded to the survey (i.e. 77% of the total South Block responded) and about 78% of the total South Block survey respondents voted YES for the study.

The Mayor has indicated as one of the options that he could request the Council to approve hiring of a consultant to undertake a design study to come up with a more accurate cost estimate (either for one area or both) areas. At the very minimum, given the large-scale support on the South Block, a study is a reasonable request from the South Block homeowners.

There is an annual capital budget expenditure of \$553,000 for sanitary sewer capital projects that all the residents within the twp pay into. Given that the funds for the study have already been allocated within the above-mentioned budget, it is only reasonable, rational, and logical that the study be approved with immediate effect. Not doing so is not in accordance with the wishes of an overwhelming majority of residents within the South Block and I fail to see the point of all of you holding onto your positions (Mayor included) if you do not want to follow the wishes of the people that you represent. Since when in a democracy, the majority voice is suppressed?

I respectfully request the Council and the Mayor to consider and approve a South Block study.

Thank you for your time.  
Tara Kurumaddali

**Gay Huber**

---

**From:** Lorel Sanchez <lorel.sanchez@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:46 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Subject:** Public Comment for Council Meeting March 8th

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I'm writing to share my support for the septic-sewer conversion engineering study. We have lived here for 15 years now - we have a wonderful community but in hindsight I would never have purchased a home with septic. There are incremental costs for maintenance and every time someone sells a home, it seems the septic is completely dug up and the seller is left handling the bill. We pay the same in taxes as those who do not have septic. We're not catching a break. Now we are given the opportunity to convert and it seems a "majority" vote for the study doesn't seem to cut it. There are always going to be people who don't respond to surveys, but I have to believe when you don't respond you don't have a strong opinion either way. Please allow the majority who voted for the survey to have it completed. It doesn't force anyone who doesn't want to convert to convert. But it allows ALL homeowners the ability to make that decision once we have accurate numbers following the engineering study.

Thank you,  
Lorel Carrabba


*Evans Drive*

65

## Gay Huber

---

**From:** Fiana Li <lifanglily@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 10:55 AM  
**To:** Gay Huber  
**Cc:** Sonia Gawas; Linda Geevers; Andrew Hersh; Andrea Mandel; Michael Stevens  
**Subject:** Public Comment/March 8 Council Mtg

 **CAUTION:** This email originated from outside the Township. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

Can you please consider below comments regarding the septic to Sewer conversion study and have it to be read during the council meeting on March 8, 2021:

- 1) 60% support for the Septic to Sewer conversion study – a sizeable majority on the South of 571;
- 2) funds for the study already allocated in the budget;
- 3) Mayor and the Council should honor the wishes of 187 homeowners that voted for the study to be done;
- 4) Failing septic systems across the communities means homeowners will have to budget upwards of \$35K in a single check to septic company as opposed to the benefit of converting to sewer and availing the twp's payment plan over a possible period of 10 yrs

Thanks,

Fiana, resident in Princeton Oaks

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76223	3650 - A & A TRUCK PARTS INC.	PO 54821 DOOR FOR TRUCK #10 - ESTIMATE 18-1155 6/		750.00	
	10540278A {2020} PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		750.00		750.00
76224	5822 - ABDUL KOOMSON	PO 55849 2021 BLANKET - MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT		225.00	
	10530273 ENGINEERING - TRAVEL EXPENSE		225.00		225.00
76225	483 - ABSOLUTE FIRE PROTECTION	PO 55954 2021 BLANKET - VEHICLE REPAIRS		572.25	
	10514278 EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		572.25		572.25
76226	845 - ACC BUSINESS	PO 55900 2021 BLANKET - LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE A		267.51	
	10556270 UTILITY EXPENSES - TELEPHONE		267.51		267.51
76227	382 - ACT ENGINEERS INC.	PO 54069 2020 BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R031		1,020.00	
	10530210A {2020} ENGINEERING - CONSULTANT FEES		1,020.00		1,020.00
76228	1346 - ALJONS	PO 56063 DINNER FOR 2/13/21 ROAD SALTING - TICKET		131.25	
	10541231 SNOW REMOVAL - MEALS		131.25		131.25
76229	1346 - ALJONS	PO 56072 DINNER FOR 2/18/21 SNOW STORM - TICKETS		355.20	
	10541231 SNOW REMOVAL - MEALS		355.20		355.20
76230	40 - ALL INDUSTRIAL SAFETY PRODUCTS	PO 55800 2021 BLANKET - SAFETY EQUIPMENT		636.60	
	10540354 PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		212.20		
	10542354 SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		212.20		
	10544354 FACILITIES - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		212.20		636.60
76231	761 - AMERICAN TIRE & AUTO CARE	PO 55991 2021 BLANKET - VEHICLE SERVICE & REPAIRS		1,044.68	
	10538278 CONST OFFL - VEHICLE REPAIR		1,044.68		1,044.68
76232	761 - AMERICAN TIRE & AUTO CARE	PO 56022 REPAIRS TO UTILITY #43		531.68	
	10514278A {2020} EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		531.68		531.68
76233	220 - BCI TRUCK INC.	PO 55855 2021 BLANKET - AUTOMOTIVE PARTS FOR HEAV		2,008.41	
	10540278 PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		2,008.41		2,008.41
76234	5656 - BRB VALUATION & CONSULTING SERVICES	PO 56017 2021 BLANKET - APPRAISAL & VALUATION SER		4,500.00	
	10509210 ASSESSMENT - CONSULTANT FEES		4,500.00		4,500.00
76235	722 - BRENDA SIRKIS	REIMBURSEMENT FOR EYE EXAM/CORRECTIVE LE	200.00		200.00
76236	819 - BUCKS COUNTY INTERNATIONAL INC.	PO 55857 2021 BLANKET - AUTOMOTIVE PARTS FOR HEAV		45.66	
	10540278 PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		45.66		45.66
76237	961 - BURLINGTON CO. BCIT	PO 55922 REGISTRATION FOR ICS300 CLASS - FF R. AL		45.00	
	10514272 EMERGENCY SERVICES - TRAINING/EDUCA.		45.00		45.00
76238	3523 - C & M AUTO PARTS, INC.	PO 54037 2020 BLANKET - NON-OEM AUTO PARTS & ACCE		771.56	
	10542278A {2020} SEWER SYSTEM - VEHICLE REPAIR		360.18		
	10544278A {2020} FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR		411.38		771.56
76239	3523 - C & M AUTO PARTS, INC.	PO 55733 2021 BLANKET - VEHICLE PARTS		126.17	
	10514278 EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		126.17		126.17

**List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS  
CURRENT FUND**

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76240	1759 - CDW GOVERNMENT INC. 10518354 POLICE - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.	PO 55745 VMWARE SUPPORT AND SUBSCRIPTION PRODUCTI	1,140.00	1,140.00	1,140.00
76241	745 - CHERRY VALLEY TRACTOR SALES 10540266A (2020) PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 54041 2020 BLANKET - PARTS & REPAIRS FOR LAWN	3,201.62	3,201.62	3,201.62
76242	745 - CHERRY VALLEY TRACTOR SALES 10544266 FACILITIES - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 55864 2021 BLANKET - PARTS & REPAIRS FOR LAWN	592.91	592.91	592.91
76243	5747 - CIOCCA CHEVROLET OF PRINCETON 10514278A (2020) EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR	PO 56029 REPAIRS TO CAR 45	4,035.70	4,035.70	4,035.70
76244	1935 - CJCQA 10538214 CONST OFFL - DUES	PO 55750 2021 CJCQA MEMBERSHIP DUES	900.00	900.00	900.00
76245	5760 - COMCAST 10556270 UTILITY EXPENSES - TELEPHONE	PO 55662 2021 BLANKET - ACCOUNT # 8499 05 245 010	204.90	204.90	204.90
76246	560 - COUNTY OF MERCER	FIRST QUARTER 2021 COUNTY TAXES	9,796,792.00	9,796,792.00	
76247	6454 - COUNTY OF MERCER 10518272 POLICE - TRAINING/EDUCATIONAL	PO 55671 MERCER COUNTY POLICE ACADEMY TRAINING M.	500.00	500.00	500.00
76248	561 - COUNTY OF MERCER, TRUST	FIRST QUARTER 2021 OPEN SPACE TAXES	424,063.21	424,063.21	
76249	2525 - CRESTON HYDRAULICS 10544278 FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR	PO 55784 2021 BLANKET - AUTOMOTIVE PARTS FOR HEAV	796.00	796.00	796.00
76250	2525 - CRESTON HYDRAULICS 10544266 FACILITIES - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 55786 2021 BLANKET - SNOW PLOW PARTS AND GRADE	157.75	157.75	157.75
76251	784 - CUES INC. 10542266A (2020) SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 54043 2020 BLANKET - REPAIR PARTS & SERVICE FO	449.03	449.03	449.03
76252	784 - CUES INC. 10542266 SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 55769 2021 BLANKET - REPAIRS, PARTS & SERVICE	421.63	421.63	421.63
76253	1483 - DAN DOBROMILSKY 10530273 ENGINEERING - TRAVEL EXPENSE	PO 55850 2021 BLANKET - MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT	225.00	225.00	225.00
76254	933 - DAVID BARBERICH 10518354 POLICE - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.	PO 56092 REIMBURSEMENT FOR NORTEL NORSTAR PHONE U	116.14	116.14	116.14
76255	1857 - DIAMOND M LUMBER CO. INC. 10544354 FACILITIES - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.	PO 55756 2021 BLANKET - MISC. LUMBER & TOOLS	126.72	126.72	126.72
76256	4651 - DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS 10556215 UTILITY EXPENSES - ELECTRIC/NATURAL GAS	PO 55629 2021 BLANKET - NATURAL GAS	5,452.40	5,452.40	5,452.40



## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76257	900 - DLT SOLUTIONS, LLC	PO 55996 AUTODESK ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION 3/13/21 - 3		1,118.70	
	10530353 ENGINEERING - TECH/COMPUTER SUPPLIES		1,118.70		1,118.70
76258	144 - DOUG DAVIDSON	PO 54529 2020 BLANKET - MILEAGE		2,046.00	
	10521273A {2020} BOARD OF HEALTH - TRAVEL EXPENSE		2,046.00		2,046.00
76259	2547 - EAGLE EQUIPMENT	PO 55770 2021 BLANKET - REPAIRS, PARTS & SERVICE		305.38	
	10542278 SEWER SYSTEM - VEHICLE REPAIR		305.38		305.38
76260	3348 - EAST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP	PO 56054 2021 BLANKET - PER RESOLUTION 2021-R026		2,056.00	
	10620210 ANIMAL CONTROL (ILSA) - O.E.		2,056.00		2,056.00
76261	5179 - EASTERN FIRE EQUIPMENT SERVICES	PO 55711 FIRECOM HEADSET FLAT RATE REPAIR		1,371.99	
	10514278A {2020} EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		1,371.99		1,371.99
76262	421 - EDDIES TOWING LLC	PO 55761 2021 BLANKET - HEAVY DUTY TOWING		1,222.50	
	10544278 FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR		797.50		
	10540278 PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		425.00		1,222.50
76263	5908 - EDMUND F. PARMA	PO 55754 2021 BLANKET - YOGA/FITNESS CLASSES \$50		200.00	
	10524210 SENIOR CITIZEN - CONSULTANT FEES		200.00		200.00
76264	2363 - EMERGENCY EQUIPMENT SALES LLC	PO 55737 2021 BLANKET - FIRE APPARATUS REPAIRS		9,258.12	
	10514278 EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		9,258.12		9,258.12
76265	609 - ERNEST O. MANSOE II	2020 REIMBURSEMENT OF RETIRED POLICE MEDIC	1,735.20	1,735.20	
76266	386 - EUGENE SWANHART	2020 REIMBURSEMENT RETIRED POLICE MEDICA	1,735.20	1,735.20	
76267	2774 - FRANCIS GUZIK	PO 55848 2021 BLANKET - MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT		225.00	
	10530273 ENGINEERING - TRAVEL EXPENSE		225.00		225.00
76268	226 - GENERAL CODE PUBLISHERS	PO 55815 2021 BLANKET FOR SUPPLEMENTS TO THE TOWN		1,195.00	
	10501207 CLERK - CODIFICATION		1,195.00		1,195.00
76269	4378 - GRILLO, CATHERINE P. & RONALD	REFUND OF TAXES VETERAN'S EXEMPT 6L49 10	4,450.92	4,450.92	
76270	4851 - HAMILTON CAR WASH	PO 54057 2020 BLANKET - TRUCK WASH FOR PICK UP TR		14.00	
	10544278A (2020) FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR		14.00		14.00
76271	4851 - HAMILTON CAR WASH	PO 55871 2021 BLANKET - TRUCK WASH FOR PICKUP TRU		14.00	
	10540278 PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		7.00		
	10542278 SEWER SYSTEM - VEHICLE REPAIR		7.00		14.00
76272	1645 - HAMILTON TWP. DIV. OF HEALTH	PO 55945 SHARED SERVICE - STD CLINIC - JULY-DECEM		150.00	
	10521248A {2020} BOARD OF HEALTH - PUBLIC HEALTH SERVIC		150.00		150.00
76273	232 - HERMITAGE PRESS INC.	PO 56026 5,000 #10 ENVELOPES BLACK/WHITE CUST #59		698.00	
	10518241 POLICE - PRINTING		698.00		698.00

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76274	4668 - HIGHTSTOWN APOLLO LODGE 10521248A	PO 54106 2020 BLANKET - RENTAL AGREEMENT FOR CHIL (2020) BOARD OF HEALTH - PUBLIC HEALTH SERVIC	525.00	525.00	525.00
76275	73 - ICMA 10504214	PO 55660 MEMBERSHIP RENEWAL FOR MARLENA SCHMID 1/ ADM - DUES	1,208.38	1,208.38	1,208.38
76276	2483 - IDENTICARD 10518233	PO 55941 2021 MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR PREMISTS POLICE - OFFICE FURN/EQUIP MAINT	860.00	860.00	860.00
76277	5085 - INDUSTRIAL DIESEL POWER, INC. 10542266	PO 55998 KOHLER 240V 1500W BLOCK HEATER SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	351.64	351.64	351.64
76278	951 - JAMES TAYLOR 10542266	PO 56064 REIMBURSEMENT FOR TOLL FOR 1/8/21 DROP P SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	20.00	20.00	20.00
76279	204 - JEM CLEANERS 10518276	PO 55968 2021 BLANKET - UNIFORM CLEANING POLICE - UNIFORM CLEANING & RENTAL	1,536.46	1,536.46	1,536.46
76280	731 - JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT 10556277	PO 55627 2021 BLANKET - ELECTRIC/GAS & STREET LIG UTILITY EXPENSES - STREET LIGHTING	4,080.87	4,080.87	4,080.87
76281	244 - JOHNSON & TOWERS 10540278	PO 55993 2021 BLANKET - PARTS/SVC HEAVY DUTY VEH PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR	823.30	823.30	823.30
76282	5764 - JUSTINE FARLETTA	REIMBURSEMENT FOR EYE EXAM/CORRECTIVE LE	450.00	450.00	450.00
76283	238 - KENNCO LLC 10540266	PO 55787 2021 BLANKET - SHOP SUPPLIES PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	6.20	6.20	6.20
76284	2146 - KERRY PHILIP 10536250A	PO 54228 2020 BLANKET - ZONING BOARD SECRETARY (2020) ZONING BOARD - RECORDING SECRETARY	175.00	175.00	175.00
76285	4401 - KOVAL ELECTRIC 10553204	PO 55841 2021 BLANKET - MISC ELECTRICAL REPAIR SE BLDG & GROUNDS - BLDG REPAIR & MAINT	265.00	265.00	265.00
76286	3451 - LISA MURPHY 10524210	PO 55723 2021 BLANKET - CHAIR STRETCH & TONE FITN SENIOR CITIZEN - CONSULTANT FEES	150.00	150.00	150.00
76287	3163 - LORRAINE JONES 10509272	PO 56050 REIMBURSEMENT FOR WEBINAR 1/29/21 IMPACT ASSESSMENT - TRAINING/EDUCATIONAL	55.00	55.00	55.00
76288	1897 - LYNN PEAVEY COMPANY 10518354A	PO 55579 VARIOUS SUPPLIES - SEE ATTACHED LIST - 1 (2020) POLICE - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.	1,457.86	1,457.86	1,457.86
76289	1146 - M & W COMMUNICATIONS 10540208	PO 56040 SERVICE CALL FOR REPAIRS TO TRUCKS #8 AN PUBLIC WORKS - COMMUNICATION EQUIP MAINT	315.00	315.00	315.00
76290	3494 - MALOUF FORD 10544278 10542278	PO 55817 2021 BLANKET - OEM AUTOMOTIVE PARTS & AC FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR SEWER SYSTEM - VEHICLE REPAIR	247.01 195.62	688.58	688.58

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
10540278	PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR		45.95		688.58
76291	257 - MC MASTER CARR SUPPLY CO.	PO 55793 2021 BLANKET - HARDWARE & SUPPLIES		665.33	
10540354	PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		184.69		
10542354	SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		182.19		
10544354	FACILITIES - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		298.45		665.33
76292	4073 - MEGAN ERKOBONI	PO 56061 2021 CLOTHING ALLOWANCE - RECEIPTS ATTAC		755.73	
10518357	POLICE - UNIFORMS		755.73		755.73
76293	460 - MERCER CO. IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY	PO 55603 2021 BLANKET - AS PER RESOLUTION 2018-R2		28,753.08	
10558220	REFUSE COLLECTION - RECYCLING		28,753.08		28,753.08
76294	5193 - MERCER COUNTY ASSESSOR'S ASSOC.	PO 56049 2021 MEMBERSHIP DUES - JONES/MORETT/PACE		400.00	
10509214	ASSESSMENT -- DUES		400.00		400.00
76295	4191 - MERCER COUNTY HEALTH OFFICERS ASSOC	PO 56093 2021 GREATER MERCER COUNTY HEALTH PARTNE		1,000.00	
10521248	BOARD OF HEALTH - PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES		1,000.00		1,000.00
76296	563 - MERCER COUNTY LIBRARY	FIRST QUARTER 2021 LIBRARY TAXES	992,637.76	992,637.76	
76297	272 - MERCER SPRING & ALIGNMENT CORP.	PO 56027 REPAIRS FOR ENGINE 45-1		1,658.82	
10514278	EMERGENCY SERVICES - VEHICLE REPAIR		1,658.82		1,658.82
76298	1597 - MIKE GULOTTA	PO 56062 REIMBURSEMENT FOR 2/18/21 SNOW STORM - B		20.75	
10541231	SNOW REMOVAL - MEALS		20.75		20.75
76299	436 - MILLER PORTER & MULLER	PO 54123 2020 BLANKET - PLANNING BOARD LITIGATION		1,499.75	
10534228A	(2020) PLANNING BOARD - LITIGATION		1,499.75		1,499.75
76300	436 - MILLER PORTER & MULLER	PO 54543 2020 BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R091		374.50	
10546228A	(2020) LEGAL - LITIGATION		374.50		374.50
76301	4134 - MORTON SALT	PO 54366 2020 BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R060		18,614.05	
10541340A	(2020) SNOW REMOVAL - SALT & SAND		18,614.05		18,614.05
76302	4134 - MORTON SALT	PO 55919 2021 BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R060		43,994.80	
10541340	SNOW REMOVAL - SALT & SAND		43,994.80		43,994.80
76303	155 - MUNICIPAL SOFTWARE INC.	PO 56123 2021 SERVER, HARDWARE, LABOR INS, APPLIC		14,965.00	
10508212	DATA PROCESSING - O.E.		14,965.00		14,965.00
76304	749 - NCOA	PO 55681 NATIONAL COUNCIL ON AGING MEMBERSHIP REN		145.00	
10524226	SENIOR CITIZEN - LICENSES/CERTIFICATION		145.00		145.00
76305	5139 - NELIDA GARCIA	PO 55728 2021 BLANKET - SPANISH LANGUAGE CLASSES		300.00	
10524210	SENIOR CITIZEN - CONSULTANT FEES		300.00		300.00
76306	2585 - NEOPOST USA INC.	PO 55316 HIGH CAPACITY INK CARTRIDGES 10/9/20 QUO		383.40	
10650332A	(2020) COURT - OFFICE SUPPLIES		383.40		383.40

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76307	3947 - NEW JERSEY ADVANCE MEDIA 10504202	ADM - ADVERTISING LEGAL	PO 55899 2021 BLANKET - LEGAL ADVERTISING IN TIME	72.21	72.21
76308	1801 - NEW JERSEY AMERICAN WATER 10554281	FIRE HYDRANT SERVICES - WATER	PO 55632 2021 BLANKET - FIRE HYDRANT FEES A/C# 10	55,355.74	55,355.74
76309	1801 - NEW JERSEY AMERICAN WATER 10556281	UTILITY EXPENSES - WATER	PO 55633 2021 BLANKET - WATER SUPPLIED- MAIN ACCO	1,482.38	1,482.38
76310	5388 - NIKITA PATEL 10521272A	{2020} BOARD OF HEALTH - TRAINING/EDUCA.	PO 55490 REIMBURSEMENT FOR CHES CERTIFICATION PRE	2,047.50	2,047.50
76311	5388 - NIKITA PATEL 10521226	BOARD OF HEALTH - LICENSE/CERTIFICATIONS	PO 56079 REIMBURSEMENT NJ ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AS	50.00	50.00
76312	1159 - NJ PUBLIC SAFETY 10518214	POLICE - DUES	PO 55675 2021 MEMBERSHIP DUES	300.00	300.00
76313	1410 - NJ SHADE TREE FEDERATION 10533214	LAND USE - DUES	PO 55658 2021 NJ SHADE TREE FEDERATION MEMBERSHI	95.00	95.00
76314	771 - NJ SOCIETY OF MUNICIPAL ENGINEERS 10530214	ENGINEERING - DUES	PO 56023 ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP DUES FOR ASST TOWNSHIP	110.00	110.00
76315	2711 - NORCIA CORPORATION 10540278	PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR	PO 55804 2021 BLANKET - AUTOMOTIVE PARTS FOR HEAV	268.16	268.16
76316	286 - PACKET MEDIA LLC 10501202	CLERK - ADVERTISING LEGAL	PO 55829 2021 BLANKET - LEGAL ADVERTISING FOR CLE	196.35	196.35
76317	289 - PARIS AUTOMOTIVE SUPPLY 10542278 10540278 10544278	SEWER SYSTEM - VEHICLE REPAIR PUBLIC WORKS - VEHICLE REPAIR FACILITIES - VEHICLE REPAIR	PO 55809 2021 BLANKET - PARTS AND SUPPLIES	1,330.69 327.49 502.26	2,360.44
76318	293 - PRINCETON AIR CONDITIONING 10553218	BLDG & GROUNDS - HVAC REPAIR/MAINTENANCE	PO 55833 2021 BLANKET - PER RESOLUTION #2020-R238	3,942.00	3,942.00
76319	1262 - PRINCETON SUPPLY CORP. 10553327	BLDG & GROUNDS -JANITORIAL/BLDG SUPPLIES	PO 55838 2021 BLANKET - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES FOR V	1,060.27	1,060.27
76320	4162 - PUBLIC SAFETY UNLIMITED, LLC 10518357	POLICE - UNIFORMS	PO 55677 K9 UNIFORM GEAR - A. POLLINI	313.00	313.00
76321	4162 - PUBLIC SAFETY UNLIMITED, LLC 10518357	POLICE - UNIFORMS	PO 55678 TRAINING UNIFORM SHIRT - C. CRAWFORD	50.00	50.00
76322	4162 - PUBLIC SAFETY UNLIMITED, LLC 10618599	POLICE - WPRSD - CLASS III OFFICERS	PO 55680 WOOL BLEND TROUSERS - M. VOGLER CLASS II	100.00	100.00

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76323	1495 - RELIANCE STANDARD LIFE INS CO. 10551299 GROUP INSURANCE - MISC SERVICES	PO 55605 2021 BLANKET - POLICY # GL125783	813.58	813.58	813.58
76324	2526 - RIGGINS INC. 10557307 GASOLINE - DIESEL FUEL 10557315 GASOLINE - UNLEADED	PO 55626 2021 BLANKET - DELIVERY OF DIESEL & UNLE	3,679.47 6,852.76	10,532.23	10,532.23
76325	2104 - SET-RITE CORPORATION 10540266 PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPEC EQUIP MAINT	PO 55935 PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE ON OVERHEAD DOO	875.00	875.00	875.00
76326	874 - STEPHEN MCDERMOTT	REIMBURSEMENT FOR EYE EXAM/CORRECTIVE LE	134.33	134.33	
76327	3289 - THEODORE HOJNACKI 10518357 POLICE - UNIFORMS	PO 55999 REIMBURSEMENT FOR 2021 UNIFORM BOOT ALLO	112.99	112.99	112.99
76328	5088 - TOP NOSH LLC 10541231 SNOW REMOVAL - MEALS	PO 56003 BREAKFAST 2/1/21 (118,128,104,109) BREAK	23.48	23.48	23.48
76329	5169 - TREASURER, STATE OF NEW JERSEY 10521214 BOARD OF HEALTH - DUES	PO 55943 REGULATED MEDICAL WASTE ANNUAL REGISTRAT	85.00	85.00	85.00
76330	738 - V E RALPH & SON INC. 10514354 EMERGENCY SERVICES - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.	PO 55882 2021 BLANKET - EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE	2,711.00	2,711.00	2,711.00
76331	1362 - VAN CLEEF ENGINEERING 10530210 ENGINEERING - CONSULTANT FEES	PO 55611 2021 BLANKET PER RES#2021-R032 MISC. ENG	144.00	144.00	144.00
76332	3296 - VECTOR SECURITY 10553251 BLDG & GROUNDS - SERVICE/MAINT CONTRACTS	PO 55834 2021 BLANKET - FIRE/SECURITY MONITORING	655.00	655.00	655.00
76333	3296 - VECTOR SECURITY 10553251 BLDG & GROUNDS - SERVICE/MAINT CONTRACTS	PO 55835 2021 BLANKET - SECURITY CAMERAS AT TOWNS	59.90	59.90	59.90
76334	2040 - VERIPIC, INC. 10518233 POLICE - OFFICE FURN/EQUIP MAINT	PO 55940 1 YR MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT 4/1/21 TO 3/3	3,000.00	3,000.00	3,000.00
76335	381 - VERIZON 10556270 UTILITY EXPENSES - TELEPHONE	PO 55631 2021 BLANKET - VARIOUS ACCOUNTS/TELEPHON	3,997.28	3,997.28	3,997.28
76336	1619 - VERIZON WIRELESS 10556270 UTILITY EXPENSES - TELEPHONE	PO 55926 2021 BLANKET - A/C#420131698-00001 WIREL	669.54	669.54	669.54
76337	333 - VITAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 10508212 DATA PROCESSING - O.E.	PO 55944 MOD IV ON-LINE SERVICE & MAINTENANCE	295.00	295.00	295.00
76338	333 - VITAL COMMUNICATIONS, INC. 10509268 ASSESSMENT - TECH/COMPUTER SRVCS	PO 56039 MOD IV TAX MASTER FILE NOA CARDS SENT TO	100.00	100.00	100.00
76339	2495 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC. 10650332A (2020) COURT - OFFICE SUPPLIES	PO 54801 METAL DESK HOLDERS W/ENGRAVED SIGNS QUOT	38.86	38.86	38.86

## List of Bills - (100001) CASH - PNC - CURRENT DISBURSEMENTS CURRENT FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
76340	2495 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC.	PO 55669 CUSTOM STAMP (SEE ATTACHED), COPY STAMP		21.30	
	10518332 POLICE - OFFICE SUPPLIES		21.30		21.30
76341	2495 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC.	PO 55670 MIGHTY BADGE HOLDERS - QUOTE 1-8-2021		213.79	
	10518332 POLICE - OFFICE SUPPLIES		213.79		213.79
76342	2495 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC.	PO 55917 VARIOUS OFFICE SUPPLIES - 1/21/21 QUOTE		173.01	
	10521332 BOARD OF HEALTH - OFFICE SUPPLIES		173.01		173.01
76343	2495 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC.	PO 55918 VARIOUS OFFICE SUPPLIES - 1/27/21 QUOTE		93.85	
	10521332 BOARD OF HEALTH - OFFICE SUPPLIES		93.85		93.85
76344	536 - WEST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP	PO 56045 2020 PETTY CASH REIMBURSEMENT		472.24	
	10518354A (2020) POLICE - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		302.24		
	10518278A (2020) POLICE - VEHICLE REPAIR		170.00		472.24
76345	536 - WEST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP	PO 56084 2021 POLICE PETTY CASH		500.00	
	100040 CASH- PETTY CASH FUNDS		500.00		500.00
76346	5891 - Y-PERS, INC.	PO 55765 2021 BLANKET - SHOP SUPPLIES		283.00	
	10540354 PUBLIC WORKS - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		95.00		
	10542354 SEWER SYSTEM - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		94.00		
	10544354 FACILITIES - TECH/SPECIAL SUPP.		94.00		283.00
TOTAL					11,493,145.68
Total to be paid from Fund 10 CURRENT FUND			11,493,145.68		
			<u>11,493,145.68</u>		
			11,493,145.68		

WEST WINDSOR TOWNSHIP  
VOUCHERS TO BE APPROVED

March 8, 2021

PAYEES	FUND	ACCT. NO.	CHECK NO.	AMOUNT
WEST WINDSOR PLAINSBORO REGIONAL SCHOOL DISTRICT SCHOOL TAX DUE 3/10/2021	CURRENT	101450	WIRE	<u>7,642,739.00</u>
TOTAL CURRENT				<u><u>7,642,739.00</u></u>

**List of Bills - (120001) CASH - TRUST MM PNC  
TRUST OTHER**

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
7386	382 - ACT ENGINEERS INC.	DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PROJECT PB 19-	9,607.50	9,607.50	
7387	2678 - ARORA & ASSOCIATES	DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PROJECT PB 17-	35,026.00	35,026.00	
7388	4702 - BURGIS ASSOCIATES, INC.	DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PROJECT PB 19-	2,131.25	2,131.25	
7389	6471 - C & E TAX LIEN FUND I	REFUND OF TAX SALE PREMIUM 2019-010 BLK1	47,000.00	47,000.00	
7390	6311 - CLORDISYS SOLUTIONS, INC	PO 55924 SHIPPING & HANDLING ADDED TO PO 55484		135.00	
	121407 STORM RECOVERY TRUST FUND - L158		135.00		135.00
7391	5596 - GEETANJALI JAIN	PO 55896 2021 BLANKET - COVID-19 PER DIEM NURSING		2,870.00	
	121407 STORM RECOVERY TRUST FUND - L158		2,870.00		2,870.00
7392	6544 - GREYMORR, LLC.	REFUND OF TAX SALE PREMIUM 2020-020 BLK1	74,400.00	74,400.00	
7393	6304 - JEREMY WHALEY	PO 55862 2021 BLANKET - PER DIEM TRANSLATION/CONT		485.00	
	121407 STORM RECOVERY TRUST FUND - L158		485.00		485.00
7394	436 - MILLER PORTER & MULLER	DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PROJECT PB 16-	17,470.25	17,470.25	
7395	5442 - NANCY MACKAY	PO 55895 2021 NURSING BLANKET - COVID-19 PER DIEM		192.50	
	121407 STORM RECOVERY TRUST FUND - L158		192.50		192.50
7396	2253 - REPUBLIC SERVICES	PO 55628 2021 BLANKET - TRUST -RECYCLING PICK UP		563.12	
	121392 RECYCLING PROGRAM		563.12		563.12
7397	4053 - RICHARD ALAIMO ASSOCIATES	DEVELOPMENT INSPECTION FEE - PROJECT ZB	146.25	146.25	
7398	6516 - STEPHANIE CABRERA	PO 55863 2021 BLANKET - PER DIEM TRANSLATION/CONT		290.00	
	121407 STORM RECOVERY TRUST FUND - L158		290.00		290.00
7399	5804 - TUREK CONSULTING LLC	DEVELOPMENT INSPECTION FEE - PROJECT ZB	227.50	227.50	
7400	1362 - VAN CLEEF ENGINEERING	DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PROJECT PB 16-	17,824.50	17,824.50	
7401	1362 - VAN CLEEF ENGINEERING	DEVELOPMENT INSPECTION FEE - PROJECT PB	15,494.00	15,494.00	
7402	2942 - VINCH RECYCLING	PO 55721 2021 BLANKET - DISPOSAL OF ASPHALT & CON		743.62	
	121392 RECYCLING PROGRAM		743.62		743.62
7403	743 - WEST WINDSOR CURRENT FUND	PLAN REVIEW (DUE TO CURRENT)	12,391.87	12,391.87	
	TOTAL				236,998.36

Total to be paid from Fund 12 TRUST OTHER

236,998.36

236,998.36



## List of Bills - (400001) CASH - PNC BANK CAPITAL FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total	
12922	382 - ACT ENGINEERS INC. 405200826008	EMERGENCY SEWER REPAIRS	PO 53183	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2019-R163 MEET	802.50	802.50
12923	3720 - APPLIED CONCEPTS INC. 405202014026	POLICE - ACQ. OF VEHICLES	PO 55525	STALKER RADAR UNIT FOR 2020 FORD SUV QUO	3,002.15	3,002.15
12924	1759 - CDW GOVERNMENT INC. 405201918001 405202014009	NETWORK/COMPUTERS/PRINTERS/SCANNERS DIGITAL TAX MAP/PLOTTER& MONITOR REPLACE	PO 55309	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R213 COMP	17,960.00 16,707.73	34,667.73
12925	1759 - CDW GOVERNMENT INC. 405202014025	POLICE - ACQ. OFFICE/COMPUTER EQUIP	PO 55618	SAMSUNG 50" LED TV/STAR TECH WALL MOUNT	488.63	488.63
12926	467 - KEY TECH LABORATORIES 405201918011	ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	PO 55332	ASPHALT CORE SAMPLING & REPORT VILLAGE R	1,927.00	1,927.00
12927	467 - KEY TECH LABORATORIES 405201918011	ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	PO 55340	ASPHALT CORE SAMPLING & REPORT ALEXANDER	1,450.00	1,450.00
12928	824 - KVD ARCHITECTURE INC. 405200914013	SCHENCK FARMSTEAD CARRIAGE HOUSE/BARN	PO 50141	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION #2018-R052 ARC	1,710.00	1,710.00
12929	2611 - MECO INC. 405201815012	ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS	PO 54413	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R068 ALEX	81,261.44	81,261.44
12930	4113 - NETQ MULTIMEDIA COMPANY 405201933001	IMPROVEMENTS TO MUNICIPAL COMPLEX	PO 55462	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2020-R239 MUNI	25,000.00	25,000.00
12931	6470 - SCHILLER AND HERSH ASSOCIATES, INC. 405202014005	POLICE/COURT BUILDING GENERATOR	PO 55130	BLANKET AS PER RESOL 2020-R168 DESIGN &	2,293.80	2,293.80
12932	1891 - THE SPIEZZLE GROUP 405201815010	MUNICIPAL COMPLEX RENOVATIONS	PO 52707	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2019-R085 & R2	7,000.00	7,000.00
12933	5750 - WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS 405201815021	POLICE - ACQ. OF OFFICE/COMPUTER EQUIP	PO 51473	BLANKET AS PER RESOLUTION 2018-R224 RADI	28,790.50	28,790.50
TOTAL						188,393.75
Total to be paid from Fund 40 CAPITAL FUND			188,393.75			
			<u>188,393.75</u>			

## List of Bills - (260001) Cash-Pool Utility Operating POOL OPERATING FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
5832	727 - NOREEN TENAGLIA 26501273	PO 55824 2021 BLANKET - VEHICLE REIMBURSEMENT Other Expenses-Travel Expense	225.00	225.00	225.00
5833	379 - PUBLIC SERVICE ELECTRIC & GAS 26501215	PO 55635 2021 BLANKET - POOL ELECTRIC/GAS A/C# 72 Other Expenses-Electric & Gas	111.07	111.07	111.07
5834	3296 - VECTOR SECURITY 26501210	PO 55992 2021 BLANKET - A/C# 198020 SECURITY SYST Other Expenses-Consultant Fees	105.00	105.00	105.00
5835	381 - VERIZON 26501270	PO 55634 2021 BLANKET - TELEPHONE USAGE A/C# 153- Other Expenses-Telephone	375.93	375.93	375.93
TOTAL					817.00

Total to be paid from Fund 26 POOL OPERATING FUND 817.00

---

817.00

**List of Bills - (170001) CASH - PNC - RECREATION CHECKING  
RECREATION COMMISSION**

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
22489	5541 - JULIA BECKMANN	SPECIAL NEEDS CHORAL CONNECT - WINTER SE		390.00	390.00
22490	972 - KEN JACOBS	TRAVEL EXPENSE FEBRUARY 2021		225.00	225.00
22491	2435 - W. B. MASON COMPANY INC.	VARIOUS OFFICE SUPPLIES INV. 218019460		7.61	7.61
TOTAL					----- 622.61
Total to be paid from Fund 17 RECREATION COMMISSION			622.61		
			<u>622.61</u>		

### List of Bills - (160001) CASH - PNC

### ANIMAL CONTROL FUND

Meeting Date: 03/08/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
1635	3572 - NJ STATE DEPT. OF HEALTH	JANUARY 2021 DOG LICENSES		588.00	588.00
1636	3572 - NJ STATE DEPT. OF HEALTH	FEBRUARY 2021 DOG LICENSES		219.60	219.60
TOTAL					807.60
Total to be paid from Fund 16 ANIMAL CONTROL FUND		807.60			
		<u>807.60</u>			

# List of Bills - (120013) CASH - SENIOR CENTER PNC TRUST OTHER

Meeting Date: 03/09/2021 For bills from 02/22/2021 to 03/07/2021

Check#	Vendor	Description	Account	PO Payment	Check Total
3028	3340 - VIN IYER	ZUMBA DANCE CLASSES 10/9/20 & 10/23/20		100.00	100.00
TOTAL					100.00
Total to be paid from Fund 12 TRUST OTHER			100.00		
			<u>100.00</u>		
			100.00		

1

2

3